

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA

No. 2:24-cv-03598-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-03600-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-03601-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-03605-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-03607-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-03608-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-03610-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-03611-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-03613-WBS-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-03614-WBS-CSK

ORDER

Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any

1 proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in
2 Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.
3 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of
4 the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for
5 review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's
6 Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)

7 The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and
8 finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹

9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-03598, 2:24-cv-03600, 2:24-cv-
10 03601, 2:24-cv-03605, 2:24-cv-03607, 2:24-cv-03608, 2:24-cv-03610, 2:24-cv-03611, 2:24-cv-
11 03613 and 2:24-cv-03614 are DISMISSED; the Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases.
12 No further filings will be accepted.

13 Dated: January 6, 2025



14 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 ¹ In the caption of 2:24-cv-03600, plaintiff names the Lassen County Superior Court. To the
27 extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in 2:24-cv-03600 in the United States District
28 Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:24-cv-
03600 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.